

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/831,968	KRAETZSCHMAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	James A Demakis	2836	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) James A Demakis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Erik Swanson, #40833.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 March 2004
**Time:** 9:30A
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 7; changes as follows: line 5, after "and defining" insert --a--; change, "spaces therein" to --space in the respective electrode;--.*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Clarification of hollow space in the electrodes set forth in claim 7.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)